130B Quantum Physics

Part 5. Phase and Gauge

Gauge Principles

Gauge Structure and Berry Phase

Phase Ambiguities

At its core, quantum mechanics is a **probability theory**. It postulate to *model* the probability density $p(\mathbf{x})$ by a squared norm

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}) = |\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})|^2,\tag{1}$$

just to ensure the *positive semi-definite* property $p(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$.

The wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ itself serves as a **mathematical parameter** of the probability model, *not* a **physical observable**, and is therefore subject to some degree of ambiguity or redundancy.

• Global phase ambiguity. A global phase rotation of the wavefunction (where "global" means α does not depend on \boldsymbol{x})

$$\psi(x) \to e^{i \, \alpha} \, \psi(x)$$

has no consequence on the expectation value $\langle O \rangle$ of any physical observable O in any case

$$\langle O \rangle = \int \psi^*(\boldsymbol{x}) O(\boldsymbol{x}, \, \boldsymbol{x}') \, \psi(\boldsymbol{x}') \, d^D \boldsymbol{x} \, d^D \boldsymbol{x}'.$$

Conclusion: quantum states \in projective Hilbert space, where global phase is always *unphysical*.

• Local phase ambiguity (Gauge redundancy). We can push this idea further: if we restrict ourself to *diagonal* observables in the *position* basis, i.e., functions f(x) that depends only on x (but not p), then any *local* phase rotation

 $\psi(x) \to e^{i \chi(x)} \psi(x)$

will leave all expectation values $\langle f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ invariant,

$$\langle f(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle := \int f(\boldsymbol{x}) |\psi(\boldsymbol{x})|^2 d^D \boldsymbol{x} = \int f(\boldsymbol{x}) p(\boldsymbol{x}) d^D \boldsymbol{x}$$
(5)

(2)

(3)

(4)

since the probability density $p(\mathbf{x})$ is unchanged.

- If $p(\mathbf{x}) = |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$ was the only *physical* probability distribution to be modeled, any $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ related by *local* phase rotation Eq. (4) should be treated as *equivalent*.
- This represents a **gauge redundancy**: multiple *mathematical descriptions* (e.g. wavefunctions) describing the same *physical reality* (e.g. position distribution).

Quantum decoherence provides a deeper reason of why only *diagonal* observables are measurable.

- Environmental monitoring: The environment has a natural tendency to monitor the local density p(x) of particles in the space.
 - Effectively performing weak continuous measurement of x.
 - Inducing **decoherence** in the position basis: rapid decay of *off-diagonal* coherence $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ of the density matrix for $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$.
- Consequence 1: Dephasing noise.
 - The measurement randomizes the **phase** of $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ at every position independently.
 - Relative phase between $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x}')$ no longer comparable, allowing *local* phase rotation as Eq. (4) to become a *redundancy*.
- Consequence 2: Gauge projection.
 - The measurement *collapse* the system towards the **particle number** eigenstates, suppressing the *number fluctuations*.
 - Effectively imposing a *gauge constraint* (like Gauss law), that couples the particle to an *emergent* **gauge field**, allowing particles to interact with each other through *emergent* **gauge forces**.

• Gauge Transformation

If the gauge freedom is an (emergent) *redundancy*, it should have no *physical consequence*. For example, it should not affect the **quantum dynamics** governed by the **Schrödinger** equation.

However, the standard Schrödinger equation is not invariant under the **gauge transformation**

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t) \to e^{i\,\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t)}\,\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t),\tag{6}$$

unless we modify it appropriately.

• Start from the free Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,m}\,\nabla^2\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t),\tag{7}$$

under gauge transformation $\psi \to e^{i\chi} \psi$ in Eq. (6), the derivative operators picks up extra terms involving $\partial_t \chi$ and $\nabla \chi$,

$$i\hbar(\partial_t + i\partial_t\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t))\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,m}\,(\nabla + i\,\nabla\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t))^2\,\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t),\tag{8}$$

and the equation does *not* remain invariant.

Exc 1 Show that Eq. (7) becomes to Eq. (8) under gauge transformation.

• To restore the **gauge invariance**, we introduce **gauge fields**:

- Scalar potential: $\Phi(x, t)$ a scalar field in the spacetime
- Vector potential: A(x, t) a vector field in the spacetime

and replace derivatives by covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \to D_t &:= \partial_t + \frac{i}{\hbar} \, \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \, t), \\ \nabla \to \boldsymbol{D} &:= \nabla - \frac{i}{\hbar} \, \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}, \, t), \end{aligned}$$
(10)

Then Eq. (7) can be recast into the gauge-invariant Schrödinger equation

$$i \hbar D_t \psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2 m} (-i \hbar \boldsymbol{D})^2 \psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t),$$
(11)

or more explicitly,

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\,m}\,(-i\,\hbar\,\nabla - \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x},\,t))^2 + \Phi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t)\right)\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\,t). \tag{12}$$

Under gauge transformation, the wavefunction ψ and the gauge fields (Φ, A) must transform together as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) &\to e^{i \,\chi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)} \,\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \\ \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) &\to \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) - \hbar \,\partial_t \,\chi(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \\ \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) &\to \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) + \hbar \,\nabla \,\chi(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \end{aligned}$$
(13)

to ensure the covariance of the quantum dynamics.

Semiclassical Interpretation

In the WKB approximation, we write the wavefunction as

$$\psi = A \, e^{i \, S/\hbar}.\tag{14}$$

Plugging the WKB ansatz into the gauge-invariant Schrödinger equation Eq. (11) yields:

$$(-\partial_t S - \Phi) = \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S - \mathbf{A})^2.$$
(15)

Given that the spacetime derivatives of the action S is associated to energy $E = -\partial_t S$ and

momentum $p = \nabla S$, Eq. (15) can be written as

$$(E-\Phi) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{A}\right)^2.$$
(16)

This reveals the physical meaning of gauge fields:

- Scalar potential Φ : **potential energy**,
- Vector potential *A*: potential momentum.

Note: Energy and Momentum each have *three* distinct forms

Exc 2

_

Show that both equations in Eq. (17) are consistent with Eq. (16).

- Total (or Canonical): appear directly in *conservation laws* and determine the *action* accumulated in spacetime.
- **Kinetic**: directly linked to the particle's *motion* (velocity \dot{x}).
- **Potential**: exist independently of particle motion, contributing even when the particle is *at* rest ($\dot{x} = 0$), representing the interaction with the background field in the spacetime.

Question: What are their dynamical consequences?

Newton's 2nd law — the force F causes the kinetic momentum $(m \dot{x})$ to change in time:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(m \, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \right) = \frac{d\,\boldsymbol{p}}{d\,t} - \frac{d\,\boldsymbol{A}}{d\,t} \,, \tag{18}$$

in two distinct ways:

- $d\mathbf{p}/dt = \partial_t \mathbf{p} + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{p}$, in which
 - $\nabla p = 0$, as x and p are independent variables,
 - Maxwell relation: $\partial_t \nabla S = \nabla \partial_t S$ implies

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{p} = -\nabla E = (\nabla \boldsymbol{A}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} - \nabla \Phi.$$

•
$$d\mathbf{A} / dt = \partial_t \mathbf{A} + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{A}$$
.

Put together, F takes the form as the Lorentz force (on a charge q = 1 particle)

$$F = -\nabla \Phi - \partial_t A + (\nabla A) \cdot \dot{x} - \dot{x} \cdot \nabla A$$
$$= E + \dot{x} \times B,$$

as long as we define

(20)

(19)

 $E = -\nabla \Phi - \partial_t A,$ $B = \nabla \times A.$ Justify Eq. (19) and Eq. (20).

Exc 3

Obviously, E and B should be interpreted as **electric** and **magnetic** fields, allowing F to be consistently identified as the force exerted by the *electromagnetic field* on a *charged* particle.

Conclusion: Gauge fields (Φ, A) are not merely mathematical constructs to maintain *qauqe* invariance; they give rise to the physical electromagnetic interactions among quantum particles. Remarkably, the **electromagnetic forces** familiar from our everyday *classical* experience emerge profoundly from the local phase ambiguity of matter at the quantum level.

Math Interlude: Lorentz Vectors

It is more convenient to unify time and space, as well as energy and momentum.

- **Spacetime**: Introduce $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ to denote the coordinate of a spacetime point,
 - $t = x^0$: time,
 - $\boldsymbol{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$: space,

and denote these components as $x^{\mu}(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ jointly. x is said to be a **Lorentz vector**.

• **Spacetime derivatives**: Partial derivatives in the spacetime are defined as

$$\partial_{\mu}f(x) = \lim_{\delta x \to 0} \frac{f(x+\delta x) - f(x)}{\delta x^{\mu}},$$
(22)

- $\partial_t = \partial_0$: temporal derivative,
- $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$: spatial derivatives.
- Energy-momentum: Introduce $p = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3)$ to denote the energy and momentum,
 - $E = p^0 = -p_0$: energy,
 - $p = (p^1, p^2, p^3) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$: momentum.
- Gauge field: Introduce $A = (A^0, A^1, A^2, A^3)$ to denote the gauge field,
 - $\Phi = A^0 = -A_0$: scalar potential,
 - $A = (A^1, A^2, A^3) = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$: vector potential.
- Covariant derivatives: Operators in Eq. (10) can now be unified as a single Lorentz vector operator

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \frac{\iota}{\hbar} A_{\mu}, \tag{23}$$

• $D_t = D_0$: covariant temporal derivative,

(21)

Г

• $D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$: covariant spatial derivative.

Raising and **lowering** the index of a Lorentz vector is done by the **Lorentz metric** $g_{\mu\nu}$ or $g^{\mu\nu}$,

$$a_{\mu} = g_{\mu\nu} a^{\nu}, a^{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu} a_{\nu}, \tag{24}$$

(25)

where *repeated* indices are automatically summed over (**contracted**) following the **Einstein sum rule**. The Lorentz metric is given by

 $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1).$

Rule of thumb: In index contraction, the *upper* index can only contract with the *lower* index and vice versa.

```
a^{\mu} b_{\mu} \checkmark \text{ok}
a^{\mu} b^{\mu} \times \text{no!}
a_{\mu} b_{\mu} \times \text{no!}
```

More explicitly, the following expressions are all valid and equal

$$a^{\mu} b_{\mu} = a^{0} b_{0} + a^{1} b_{1} + a^{2} b_{2} + a^{3} b_{3}$$

= $a^{\mu} g_{\mu\nu} b^{\nu} = -a^{0} b^{0} + a^{1} b^{1} + a^{2} b^{2} + a^{3} b^{3}$
= $a_{\mu} g^{\mu\nu} b_{\nu} = -a_{0} b_{0} + a_{1} b_{1} + a_{2} b_{2} + a_{3} b_{3}.$ (26)

Berry Phase

Berry phase is the *phase* accumulated by the wavefunction as a particle travels through the spacetime *adiabatically*.

• A processes is said to be **adiabatic**, if it happens *slowly* over a long time, i.e. the rates of change in physical observables tend to zero. In terms of the motion of a particle, it means the *velocity* of the particle is *almost zero* throughout the process:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \to 0.$$
 (27)

Eq. (27) is also called the *adiabatic limit*.

• In the adiabatic limit, the **action** of the particle is accumulated by the **potential** energy and momentum

$$-\partial_t S = E = \Phi,$$

$$\nabla S = \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{A}.$$
(28)

as the *kinetic* energy and momentum vanishes when $\dot{x} \rightarrow 0$.

• Given that phase is related to action, the **Berry phase** that a particle accumulates along a spacetime trajectory C is given by the **path integral** that computes the accumulated action

$$\Theta_C = \frac{S_C}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_C -\Phi \, dt + \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_C A_\mu \, dx^\mu.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Justify Eq. (29).

Such that *adiabatically propagating* the wave amplitude ψ along trajectory C will acquire the Berry phase shift:

• For infinitesimal transportation $C: x \to x + \delta x$,

$$\psi \to e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} A_{\mu} \delta x^{\mu}} \psi.$$
(31)

• Under gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu}(x) \to A_{\mu}(x) + \hbar \,\partial_{\mu} \,\chi(x), \tag{32}$$

• the Berry phase along an **open trajectory** C is not gauge invariant (hence not a physical observable):

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{C}} \to \Theta_{\mathcal{C}} + \chi(x_{\text{end}}) - \chi(x_{\text{start}}), \tag{33}$$

where x_{start} , $x_{\text{end}} = \partial C$ are the starting and ending point of C.

• the Berry phase around a **closed loop** (Wilson loop) is gauge invariant, and is a physical observable.

Gauge Field and Electromagnetism

■ Gauge Connection

Previously, we have introduced the *covariant derivative* D_{μ} to make the Schrödinger equation gauge invariant, but is there a deeper motivation behind this? In calculus, the **derivative** of a function tells us how much the function changes between nearby points

$$\partial_x f(x) = \lim_{\delta x \to 0} \frac{f(x + \delta x) - f(x)}{\delta x}.$$
(34)

But this assumes we can *compare* the values of the function at different points directly.

Exc

• Problem: If the wavefunction $\psi(x)$ has local phase ambiguity,

$$\psi(x) \to e^{i\,\chi(x)}\,\psi(x),\tag{35}$$

meaning that $\psi(x)$ at each point x is defined up to a phase rotation, there will be no basis to compare wavefunctions between distinct points.

There is a similar issue in **finance**: you cannot directly compare currencies from different countries by their face values!

• Are the following amounts of money the same?



• You should first *move* (parallel transport) the money to the same place before comparing.



During the conversion, the money will be multiplied by the *exchange rate* (exponential gauge connection), e.g.

$$e^{0.076961} \in 100 = \$108, \ e^{-2.01741} \ \$100 = \$13.3.$$
 (36)

Solution: Similarly, to define a meaningful derivative for the wavefunction, we have to introduce a gauge connection A_μ(x) to keep track of the phase rotation needed to transport ψ(x + δx) back to the point x for comparison, for every point x along any direction μ.

This allows us to (re)define the **covariant derivative**:

$$D_{\mu}\psi(x) = \lim_{\delta x \to 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}A_{\nu}(x)\,\delta x^{\nu}}\psi(x+\delta x) - \psi(x)}{\delta x^{\mu}}.$$
(37)

(38)

- Interpretation: $\psi(x + \delta x)$ has accumulated a Berry phase of $\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar} A_{\nu}(x) \delta x^{\nu})$ compare to $\psi(x)$ as the particle travels adiabatically. So when pulling $\psi(x + \delta x)$ back to the point x, this phase should be compensated by the opposite phase factor $\exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} A_{\nu}(x) \delta x^{\nu})$ before comparing.
- Expressed in terms of the usual partial derivative modified by the gauge connection,

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \frac{i}{\hbar} A_{\mu}(x)$$

which exactly reproduces Eq. (23).

Exc 5 Show Eq. (38) follows from Eq. (37) by taking the limit.

• The covariant derivative commute with the **gauge transformation**

(39)

$$\begin{split} \psi(x) &\to e^{i\,\chi(x)}\,\psi(x), \\ A_{\mu}(x) &\to A_{\mu}(x) + \hbar\,\partial_{\mu}\,\chi(x), \end{split}$$

as adapted from Eq. (13).

• The **apparent** deformation of the wavefunction ψ from x to $x + \delta x$ can be expressed as

$$\psi(x+\delta x) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} A_{\mu}(x) \, \delta x^{\mu}} \, e^{\delta x^{\mu} D_{\mu}} \, \psi(x), \tag{40}$$

which contains two contributions

- the intrinsic deformation under parallel transport $\psi \to e^{\delta x^{\mu} D_{\mu}} \psi$, which is generated by the covariant derivative D_{μ} ,
- the **background** deformation in terms of the Berry phase $\psi \to e^{(i/\hbar) A_{\mu}(x) \delta x^{\mu}} \psi$ accumulated along the gauge connection A_{μ} .

■ Gauge Curvature

Exchanging currencies in cycles typically results in a loss. Why?



Because the global foreign exchange market is not *flat* — the mismatch around a *closed loop* is a measure of **curvature**.

In gauge theory, the **gauge curvature** $F_{\mu\nu}$ measures the adiabatic *action* accumulated *per* area when transporting the wavefunction around the *area boundary* in spacetime.

$$S = \oint_{\partial\Omega} A_{\mu} dx^{\mu} = \int_{\Omega} F_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}.$$
(41)

• Operational definition: Mathematically, the gauge curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by the *commutator* of *covariant derivatives*

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]\psi = -\frac{i}{\hbar} F_{\mu\nu}\psi, \qquad (42)$$

which measures the amount of non-commutativity to transport the wavefunction along two distinct spacetime directions μ and ν .

$$x^{\nu} \qquad \delta x^{\mu} \quad \psi \left(x + \delta x^{\mu} + \delta x^{\nu} \right)$$
$$\delta x^{\nu} \qquad \Omega \qquad \delta x^{\nu}$$
$$\psi \left(x \right) \qquad \delta x^{\mu} \qquad \delta x^{\mu}$$

- **Exc** Using Eq. (40), prove Eq. (42) by comparing the above two paths to transport $\psi(x)$ to $\psi(x + \delta x^{\mu} + \delta x^{\nu})$.
 - Physical meaning: In electromagnetism, $F_{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to the electromagnetic field strength tensor,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}.$$
(43)

(44)

(45)

- **Exc 7** Derive Eq. (43) from Eq. (42).
 - Electric field: $E = (E^1, E^2, E^3)$, with $E^i := -F_{0i}$.

$$\boldsymbol{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \partial_t \boldsymbol{A}.$$

• Magnetic field: $B = (B^1, B^2, B^3)$, with $B^i := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}$.

$$B = \nabla \times A.$$

Exc 8 Check that \boldsymbol{E} and \boldsymbol{B} are gauge invariant. Therefore, they are physical observables.

• Charged Particle in Gauge Field

In quantum mechanics, the time-evolution is generated by the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} .

• Schrödinger picture: *state* evolves in time, operator remains fixed.

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \hat{H}\psi. \tag{46}$$

• Heisenberg picture: operator evolves in time, state remains fixed.

$$i\hbar\partial_t \hat{O} = \left[\hat{O}, \,\hat{H}\right].\tag{47}$$

Note: Eq. (47) assumes \hat{O} has no explicit time dependence, if not, its *explicit time derivative* will also contribute to the rate of change of \hat{O} .

Compare Eq. (46) with Eq. (12), we conclude that the Hamiltonian of the **gauge-invariant** Schrödinger equation is

(50)

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2 m} D^2 + \Phi, \qquad (48)$$

or more explicitly as

$$\hat{H} = H(\hat{x}, \, \hat{p}, \, t) = \frac{1}{2 \, m} \, (\hat{p} - A(\hat{x}, \, t))^2 + \Phi(\hat{x}, \, t), \tag{49}$$

where

- \hat{x} is the coordinate operator.
- $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\nabla$ is the momentum operator.
- They satisfy the canonical commutation relation

$$\left[\hat{x}_i,\,\hat{p}_j\right]=i\,\hbar\,\delta_{ij}\,\mathbb{I}.$$

Exc 9 Verify Eq. (50).

• $\hat{\Phi} = \Phi(\hat{x}, t)$ and $\hat{A} = A(\hat{x}, t)$ are operator functions of \hat{x} , with *explicit* time t dependence. Using the Heisenberg equation Eq. (47), we can compute time derivatives of the particle position operator \hat{x}

• 1st order (velocity operator)

$$\partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{H}] = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}}{m}.$$
(51)

• 2nd order (acceleration operator)

$$\partial_t^2 \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\frac{1}{m} \partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{A}} + \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{H}}] = \frac{1}{m} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \times \partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \right) \right),$$
(52)

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}$ operators are defined by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = -\nabla \hat{\Phi} - \partial_t \hat{\boldsymbol{A}},$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{B}} = \nabla \times \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}.$$
(53)

Exc 10

Derive Eq.
$$(51)$$
 and Eq. (52)

Eq. (52) describes the **quantum dynamics** of a *charged particle* in an *electromagnetic field* in the Heisenberg picture:

$$m \,\partial_t^2 \,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{E}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_t \,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{B}} \times \partial_t \,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \right). \tag{54}$$

In contrast, the **classical dynamics** is described by

$$m \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{E} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \boldsymbol{B},\tag{55}$$

where all quantities commute. In the quantum case, however, $\partial_t \hat{x}$ and \hat{B} generally do not commute, so their cross product must be symmetrized as in Eq. (54).

Aharonov-Bohm Effect

In quantum mechanics, the *motion* of a charged particle can be *influenced* by the **gauge fields** Φ and A through **quantum interference**, even in the *absence* of electromagnetic fields (i.e. E = B = 0) when there is no Lorentz force acting on the particle at all!

- Setup: Aharonov-Bohm Experiment
 - Physical Arrangement: Consider a long, thin solenoid carrying a magnetic flux ϕ_B . Outside the solenoid, the magnetic field **B** is zero, but the vector potential **A** is nonzero. For any surface *S* that fully covers the solenoid, we have

$$\phi_B = \int_{\mathcal{S}} B \cdot d\sigma = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{S}} A \cdot dl.$$
(56)
Source

- **Interferometry**: A beam of electrons is split into two paths that *encircle* the solenoid in opposite directions and then recombine to produce an *interference* pattern.
- Key idea: Even when B = 0 outside the solenoid, the vector potential A influences the *phase* of the wavefunction.
 - When an electron travels along a path C, the wavefunction acquires a **Berry phase**:

$$\psi \stackrel{C}{\to} \psi e^{i\Theta_C} = \psi \exp\left(\frac{i q}{\hbar} \int_C \mathbf{A} \cdot d \mathbf{x}\right),\tag{57}$$

where q = -e is recovered to represent the electron charge.

• The **phase difference** between the two paths is

$$\Delta \Theta = \Theta_{C_1} - \Theta_{C_2} = \frac{q}{\hbar} \left(\int_{C_1} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\, \mathbf{x} - \int_{C_2} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\, \mathbf{x} \right). \tag{58}$$

• By applying Stokes' theorem over the surface S enclosed by the loop $C = C_1 - C_2 = \partial S$,

$$\Delta\Theta = \frac{q}{\hbar} \int_{\mathcal{S}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{q \, \phi_B}{\hbar},\tag{59}$$

where ϕ_B is the **magnetic flux** through S (which equals to the flux inside the solenoid as long as S covers the solenoid fully).

This phase shift manifests as a *shift* in the *interference fringes* when the two parts of the beam are *recombined*.

- Application: Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID)
 - A SQUID consists of a *superconducting loop* containing a *Josephson junction* (serving as the screen) and exploit quantum interference to detect extremely subtle changes in *magnetic flux* inside the loop.
 - By harnessing the quantum-level sensitivity of the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect, SQUIDs can measure magnetic fields as faint as 5×10^{-18} T at microscopic scales.
 - SQUIDs also play a pivotal role in *quantum computing*, as an approach towards *superconducting qubits*.
- Question: What Is Physical about Gauge Fields?
 - Gauge Potentials vs. Field Strengths: Traditionally, one might think only the fields E and B are *physical* since they are *gauge invariant* and can be measured directly by *forces*. However, the AB effect shows that the potentials Φ and A also have direct physical consequences—they affect the phase of a quantum wavefunction.
 - Holonomy and Berry Phase: The Berry phase around any *closed loop* is gauge invariant, and should be *physical*. All such **closed-loop Berry phases** (aka. the **holonomies**) form the *complete* set of physical observables of a gauge theory. The AB phase is an example of a holonomy: the phase accumulated around a closed loop depends on the *curvature* (here, the magnetic flux ϕ_B) enclosed by the loop.

Uniform Magnetic Field

Classical Dynamics

Cyclotron Motion

The motion of a **charged particle** in the electromagnetic field is governed by the **Lorentz** force:

$$m \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{F} = e \left(\boldsymbol{E} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right).$$

(60)

• m - particle mass,

• *e* - particle **charge**.

Consider the case: uniform magnetic field only,

$$\boldsymbol{E} = 0, \ \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B} \ \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{z}}.$$
(61)

Circular motion in x-y plane:

 $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = v_c(\cos(\omega_c \ t) \ \boldsymbol{e}^x - \sin(\omega_c \ t) \ \boldsymbol{e}^y),$ $\boldsymbol{x} = r_c(\sin(\omega_c \ t) \ \boldsymbol{e}^x + \cos(\omega_c \ t) \ \boldsymbol{e}^y) + \boldsymbol{\xi},$ (62)

Exc Demonstrate Eq. (62) by solving the equation of motion Eq. (60).

• ω_c - cyclotron frequency:

$$\omega_c = \frac{e B}{m}.$$
(68)

- v_c cyclotron velocity, set by the initial velocity of the particle,
- r_c cyclotron radius,

$$r_c = \frac{v_c}{\omega_c} = \frac{m \, v_c}{e \, B}.\tag{69}$$

• $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_x \, \boldsymbol{e}^x + \boldsymbol{\xi}_y \, \boldsymbol{e}^y$ - guiding center (the center of the cyclotron motion). It can be reconstructed from

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{x} - \frac{r_c}{v_c} \, \boldsymbol{e}^z \times \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{x} - \frac{1}{e \, B} \, \boldsymbol{e}^z \times \boldsymbol{\pi},\tag{70}$$

where $\pi = m \dot{x}$ denotes the **kinetic momentum**.

Exc Verify Eq. (70).

Hall Effect

г

Consider the case: uniform electric field *perpendicular* to uniform magnetic field,

 $\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{E} \, \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{y}}, \ \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B} \, \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{z}}. \tag{71}$

(76)



The Lorentz force is balanced if

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B}}{B^2} = v_d \; \boldsymbol{e}^x. \tag{72}$$

• Drift velocity of charge:

$$v_d = \frac{E}{B}.$$
(73)

• Corresponding **current density**:

$$\boldsymbol{j} = n \ e \ v_d \ \boldsymbol{e}^x, \tag{74}$$

where

- $\bullet\ n$ carrier **density**, number of charge carriers per unit area.
- *e* carrier **charge**.

Exc 13

Justify Eq. (74).

• Hall conductivity:

$$\sigma_H := \frac{j_x}{E_y} = \frac{n \, e}{B}.\tag{75}$$

• Hall resistivity:

- Classical expectation: given *fixed* carrier (electron) density n, $\rho_H \propto B$ should scale *linearly* with B.
- Quantum Hall effect: when B is large enough, $\sigma_H = \rho_H^{-1}$ exhibits steps at quantized values:

(77)

(79)

$$\sigma_H = \frac{\nu e^2}{h}$$
 ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$).

- h Planck constant,
- *e* electron charge.

Landau Level Quantization

• Gauge Field

Two-dimensional electron system in the x-y plane, with a **uniform magnetic field** perpendicular to the plane

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B} \, \boldsymbol{e}^z = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}. \tag{78}$$

• The vector potential (gauge field) \boldsymbol{A} circulates in the x-y plane,

$$\boldsymbol{A} = (A_x, A_y) = \frac{B}{2} (-y, x),$$

known as the symmetric gauge.

• However, the gauge choice is not unique. For example, the following gauge choice is also valid: $A = (A_x, A_y) = (0, Bx),$

known as the Landau gauge.

We will mostly work with the circular gauge Eq. (79), following Ref. [1].

[1] David Tong. The Quantum Hall Effect (TIFR Infosys Lectures), (2016).

(85)

Hamiltonian

Following Eq. (48), the Hamiltonian of the gauge-invariant Schrödinger equation reads

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} D^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\nabla - \frac{i e}{\hbar} \hat{A} \right)^2.$$
(80)

- Unit choice:
 - charge e = 1,
 - mass m = 1,
 - Planck constant $\hbar = 1$.

Eq. (80) can be simplified to

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{p} - \hat{A} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\pi}^2.$$
(81)

- $\hat{p} = -i \nabla = (-i \partial_x, -i \partial_y)$ canonical momentum operator.
 - Canonical commutation relation with coordinate operator $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$:

$$\left[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j\right] = i \quad \text{(for } i, j = x, y\text{)}. \tag{82}$$

• $\hat{A} = A(\hat{x}) = (\hat{A}_x, \hat{A}_y)$ - potential momentum operator (electromagnetic vector potential). Under symmetric gauge Eq. (79),

$$\hat{A}_x = -\frac{B}{2} \,\hat{y}, \ \hat{A}_y = \frac{B}{2} \,\hat{x}.$$
(83)

• $\hat{\pi} = (\hat{\pi}_x, \hat{\pi}_y)$ - kinetic momentum operator,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{A}} = -i\,\nabla - \boldsymbol{A}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = -i\,\boldsymbol{D},\tag{84}$$

or, in terms of components

$$\hat{\pi}_x = \hat{p}_x - \hat{A}_x = -i \,\partial_x - \hat{A}_x,$$
$$\hat{\pi}_y = \hat{p}_y - \hat{A}_y = -i \,\partial_y - \hat{A}_y.$$

• They satisfy the following commutation relation

$$[\hat{\pi}_x, \hat{\pi}_y] = i B, \tag{86}$$

which follows from the definition of gauge curvature in Eq. (42).

Exc 15 Verify Eq. (86).

• They also inherit the commutation relation with the coordinate operator,

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{\pi}_j] = i \quad \text{(for } i, j = x, y\text{)}. \tag{87}$$

• Guiding Center

Following Eq. (70), the **guiding center** operator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = (\boldsymbol{\xi}_x, \boldsymbol{\xi}_y)$ is defined as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{1}{B} \, \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{z}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}.$$
(88)

• Under symmetric gauge Eq. (79),

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \frac{1}{B} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{A}} \right) \times \boldsymbol{e}^{z}, \tag{89}$$

Exc

Verify Eq. (89). 16

or, in terms of components

$$\hat{\xi}_{x} = \hat{x} + \frac{1}{B} \hat{\pi}_{y} = \frac{1}{B} \left(\hat{p}_{y} + \hat{A}_{y} \right),$$

$$\hat{\xi}_{y} = \hat{y} - \frac{1}{B} \hat{\pi}_{x} = -\frac{1}{B} \left(\hat{p}_{x} + \hat{A}_{x} \right).$$
(90)

• They satisfy the following commutation relation

$$\left[\hat{\xi}_x, \hat{\xi}_y\right] = \frac{1}{i B}.$$
(91)

Exc 17

• Guiding center and kinetic momentum operators commute.

$$\left[\hat{\xi}_i, \hat{\pi}_j\right] = 0 \quad (\text{for } i, j = x, y).$$

Exc Prove Eq. (92). 18

Г

Annihilation and Creation Operators

Define two sets of annihilation and creation operators.

• Cyclotron annihilation and creation operators:

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\hat{\pi}_x + i\,\hat{\pi}_y), \ \hat{a}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\hat{\pi}_x - i\,\hat{\pi}_y),$$
(93)

such that

Г

(92)

(97)

(98)

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger} \,\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2B} \,\hat{\pi}^2 = \frac{1}{2B} \left(\hat{p} - \hat{A} \right)^2. \tag{94}$$

Exc 19

c Verify Eq. (94).

• Guiding center annihilation and creation operators:

$$\hat{b} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} \left(\hat{\xi}_x - i \, \hat{\xi}_y \right), \ \hat{b}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} \left(\hat{\xi}_x + i \, \hat{\xi}_y \right), \tag{95}$$

such that

Verify Eq. (96).

$$\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{B}{2} \hat{\xi}^2 = \frac{1}{2B} \left(\hat{p} + \hat{A} \right)^2.$$
(96)

Exc 20

They satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}, \ \hat{a}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 1, \ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}, \ \hat{b}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 1,$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}, \ \hat{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}, \ \hat{b}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}^{\dagger}, \ \hat{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}^{\dagger}, \ \hat{b}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Exc 21 Prove Eq. (97).

- Implication: \hat{a} and \hat{b} are annihilation operators for two independent harmonic oscillator degrees of freedom.
- $\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}$ are commuting **number operators**, and can be diagonalized *simultaneously*. Their eigenvalues correspond to two *separate* sets of **quantum numbers**, denoted as *n* and *m* respectively:

 $\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} |n,m\rangle = n |n,m\rangle,$ $\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} |n,m\rangle = m |n,m\rangle,$

 $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \in \mathbb{N}.$

Landau Levels

The system has two important physical observables:

• Hamiltonian: using Eq. (94), Eq. (81) can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\pi}^2 = B\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\,\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{99}$$

(100)

• Angular momentum:

$$\hat{L}_z = (\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^z = \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{b}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}.$$

Exc 22 Verify Eq. (100) under symmetric gauge.

Obviously, $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_z] = 0$, i.e. \hat{H} and \hat{L}_z can be simultaneously diagonalized.

• Their common eigenstates are $|n,m\rangle$:

$$\hat{H} |n,m\rangle = B\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)|n,m\rangle,$$

$$\hat{L}_{z} |n,m\rangle = (m-n)|n,m\rangle,$$
(101)

which are labeled by two quantum numbers:

- n: Landau level index (energy level index),
- *m*: **angular momentum** index (degeneracy within a Landau level).
- The energy levels are quantized

$$E_n = B\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right),\tag{102}$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \in \mathbb{N}$.

• After restoring the energy unit,

$$E_n = \frac{\hbar q B}{m} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \hbar \omega_c \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{103}$$

where $\omega_c = q B / m$ is the cyclotron frequency.

- Each level is called a Landau level. The n = 0 level is called the lowest Landau level (LLL).
- The angular momentum is also quantized. After restoring the unit,

$$L_{z,nm} = \hbar \left(m - n\right). \tag{104}$$

Eigenstates $|n,m\rangle$ arranged by energy v.s. angular momentum.



• Landau level degeneracy:

- In an *infinite* system, each Landau level is *infinitely* degenerated.
 - Argument: The quantum number $m = 0, 1, 2, ... \in \mathbb{N}$ is unbounded. \Rightarrow Infinitely many orthogonal states $|n,m\rangle$ within the same energy level n.
- In a realistic system of *finite* size, the Landau level degeneracy becomes *finite*, and is determined by the *total magnetic flux* measured in units of the *flux quantum*.
 - Consider electrons confined within a disk of radius $R. \Rightarrow$ The guiding center radius ξ must satisfy $\xi \leq R$.
 - This puts a constraint on the operator

$$\hat{b}^{\dagger} \,\hat{b} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{B}{2} \,\hat{\xi}^2 \lesssim \frac{B \,R^2}{2},\tag{105}$$

in terms of its eigenvalues. $\Rightarrow m \leq B R^2/2$, restoring the physical units:

$$m \lesssim \frac{e B R^2}{2 \hbar}.$$
(106)

• A flux quantum is the amount of magnetic flux ϕ_0 that induces a 2π Berry phase for an electron braiding around it.

phase =
$$\frac{\text{action}}{\hbar} = \frac{e \phi_0}{\hbar} = 2 \pi,$$
 (107)

meaning that

$$\phi_0 = -\frac{h}{e}.\tag{108}$$

• Then Eq. (106) can be written as

$$m \lesssim \frac{\phi_B}{\phi_0},\tag{109}$$

where $\phi_B = \pi R^2 B$ is the **total magnetic flux** through the disk.

Thus the Landau level degeneracy is set by ϕ_B/ϕ_0 — the conclusion generalizes to any shape of the system.

• Complex Coordinate

Instead of using $\boldsymbol{x} = (x, y)$ to coordinate the position of the particle, it is more convenient to introduce:

 \bullet the complex coordinate

$$z = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} (x + i y), \ \overline{z} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} (x - i y),$$
(110)

• and the **complex derivative**

$$\partial_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\partial_x - i \,\partial_y), \ \partial_{\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}} (\partial_x + i \,\partial_y). \tag{111}$$

Exc 23 Derive Eq. (111) from Eq. (110).

Using the complex notation, the creation and annihilation operators can be represented as

$$\hat{a} = -i\left(\frac{1}{2} z + \partial_{\overline{z}}\right), \ \hat{a}^{\dagger} = i\left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{z} - \partial_{z}\right);$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{z} + \partial_{z}, \ \hat{b}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} z - \partial_{\overline{z}}.$$
(112)

Exc 24 Verify Eq. (112).

• Wave Functions

 $|0,0\rangle$ is the **vacuum state** for both \hat{a} and \hat{b} bosons, defined by the condition

$$\hat{a}|0,0\rangle = \hat{b}|0,0\rangle = 0.$$
 (113)

so the vacuum state wave function $\psi_{0,0}(z, \overline{z})$ should satisfy

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} z + \partial_{\overline{z}}\right) \psi_{0,0}(z, \overline{z}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{z} + \partial_z\right) \psi_{0,0}(z, \overline{z}) = 0.$$
(114)

• Consider the ansatz

 $\psi_{0,0}(z,\,\overline{z}) = f(z,\,\overline{z})\,e^{-\overline{z}\,z/2},\tag{115}$

Eq. (114) implies

 $\partial_z f(z, \overline{z}) = \partial_{\overline{z}} f(z, \overline{z}) = 0, \tag{116}$

Exc Derive Eq. (116). 25

meaning that $f(z, \overline{z})$ must be *independent* of both z and \overline{z} , i.e. it is a constant function, i.e.

$$f(z,\overline{z}) = \text{const.}$$
(117)

• Therefore, the vacuum state wave function reads

$$\psi_{0,0}(z,\,\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \,\,e^{-\overline{z}\,z/2},\tag{118}$$

which is normalized to ensure $\int\!d\,z\,d\,\overline{z}\,|\psi_{0,0}(z,\,\overline{z})|^2=1.$

Any other state $|n,m\rangle$ can be raised from the vacuum state $|0,0\rangle$ by applying creation operators

$$|n,m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n! \, m!}} \, (\hat{a}^{\dagger})^n \, (\hat{b}^{\dagger})^m \, |0,0\rangle, \tag{119}$$

for $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Г

• Lowest Landau level (LLL): The wave functions with n = 0 are

$$\psi_{0,m}(z,\,\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \,m!}} \, z^m \, e^{-\overline{z} \, z/2}. \tag{120}$$

Exc Derive Eq. (120). 26

The wave functions has circular symmetry, taking the **ring shape**.



• Probability density

Exc

27

$$|\psi_{0,m}(z,\,\overline{z})|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi \,m!} \,(\overline{z}\,z)^m \,e^{-\overline{z}\,z},\tag{121}$$

which distributes around the radius $|z|=\sqrt{m}\,.$

Estimate the radius |z| that maximizes the probability.

• Semi-classical argument: The factor $z^m = \sqrt{B/2} r^m e^{im\theta}$ implies that the particle accumulates $2\pi m$ Berry phase when travelling around a circle of radius r.

• To ensure that the wave function is single-valued, m must be an integer, i.e.

$$2\pi m \in 2\pi \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(122)

• This corresponds to a *canonical momentum* of

$$p = \frac{2\pi m}{2\pi r} = \frac{m}{r}.$$
 (123)

• States in the LLL should *minimize* the energy $E = (p - A)^2 / 2$, which can be achieved if

$$p = A = \frac{\pi r^2 B}{2\pi r} = \frac{r B}{2}.$$
(124)

• Eq. (123) and Eq. (124) together sets the optimal radius

$$\frac{m}{r} = p = \frac{rB}{2} \Rightarrow r = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{B}},$$
(125)

or, in terms of z variable, $|z|\,=\,\sqrt{B/2}\,$ $r=\,\sqrt{m}\,$ (with $m\in\mathbb{Z}).$

• Any linear combination of $|0,m\rangle$ is still a state in the LLL, which takes the general form of

$$\sum_{m} c_m \psi_{0,m}(z, \overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \, m!}} \left(\sum_{m} c_m \, z^m \right) e^{-\overline{z} \, z/2} = f(z) \, e^{-\overline{z} \, z/2}.$$
(126)

Conclusion: LLL wavefunctions are holomorphic functions of $\ z$, multiplied by a Gaussian envelope.

• More generally, for higher Landau levels, the wave functions

$$\psi_{n,m}(z,\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n! m!}} \left((\overline{z} - \partial_z)^n z^m \right) e^{-\overline{z} z/2},\tag{127}$$

can be written in terms of associated Laguerre polynomials.

Quantum Hall Effect

• Filling Landau Levels

For a single electron confined to a two-dimensional plane under a uniform perpendicular

magnetic field, the energy eigenvalues are quantized into Landau levels:

$$E_n = \hbar \,\omega_c \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (n = 0, \, 1, \, 2, \, \ldots).$$
(128)

- Level spacing (energy gap): $\hbar \omega_c$, where $\omega_c = e B / m$ is the cyclotron frequency.
- Level degeneracy: Given the total magnetic flux ϕ_B through the plane, degeneracy is

$$N_{\phi} := \frac{\phi_B}{\phi_0} = \frac{BA}{\phi_0},$$
(129)

where

- A total area of the system,
- $\phi_0 = h / e$ the magnetic flux quantum.

Many-body system: In real materials, there is not just one single electron, but many electrons interacting with each other — the problem become *many-body* in nature.

• The key feature of electrons is their **fermionic statistics**.

 \Rightarrow **Pauli exclusion principle**: no two electrons can occupy the same quantum state.

- Filling up energy levels: Due to Pauli exclusion, electrons will fill up *available quantum* states starting from the lowest energy states.
- Filling fraction ν is the (fractional) number of Landau levels that will be filled up,

$$\nu = \frac{N}{N_{\phi}} = \frac{n h}{e B}.$$
(130)

- N total number of electron in the system,
- n = N/A electron density, i.e. the number of electrons per area.

Conversely, Eq. (130) allows us to express n in terms of ν ,

$$n = \frac{v e}{h} B. \tag{131}$$

The phenomenology of **integer quantum Hall effect** is that the Hall conductivity σ_H takes quantized values (recall Eq. (77))

$$\sigma_H = \frac{n e}{B} = \frac{\nu e^2}{h},\tag{132}$$

at $\nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$, i.e. when the filling fraction $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer, corresponding to the situation where

- the lowest v Landau levels are completely *filled*,
- and all higher levels are completely *empty*.

This leads to an **incompressible quantum state**: electrons cannot change states without a large energy cost (the Landau level spacing $\hbar \omega_c$).

Message: The quantized Hall conductance originates from fully filling discrete Landau levels. — Why does each filled Landau level contribute to exactly one unit of σ_H ?

• Charge Pumping

Consider a *annular geometry* that allows us to probe the Hall effect via **radial charge pumping**.



• In addition to a uniform perpendicular magnetic field B, we thread an extra magnetic flux $\phi(t)$ through the central hole, which slowly increases from 0 to ϕ_0 over a long time T,

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 \, \frac{t}{T},\tag{133}$$

- $\phi_0 = h / e$ flux quantum,
- $T \gg 1/\omega_c$ *adiabatic* evolution time. $(t: 0 \rightarrow T)$.
- Due to the **Aharonov-Bohm effect**, each quantum state $|n,m\rangle$ will be affected its wave function radius r_m will be deformed adiabatically,

$$r_m = \sqrt{\frac{\phi_0}{\pi B}} \sqrt{m - t/T} \,. \tag{134}$$

Exc 28

Derive Eq. (134).

Under the flux insertion,

t:	0	\rightarrow	T	
$\phi(t)$:	0	\rightarrow	ϕ_0	,
$r_m \propto$	\sqrt{m}	\rightarrow	$\sqrt{m-1}$	ī

the radius of every orbit shrinks from \sqrt{m} to $\sqrt{m-1} \Rightarrow$ pumping one charge e from the outer edge to the inner edge.

- During this process,
 - the radial current density:

$$j_r = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{e}{T},\tag{137}$$

• the circular electric field induced by the changing flux:

$$E_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2 \pi r} \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2 \pi r} \frac{\phi_0}{T},$$
(138)

Hall conductivity for each fully filled Landau level:

$$\sigma_H = \frac{j_r}{E_{\theta}} = \frac{e}{\phi_0} = \frac{e^2}{h}.$$
(139)

Conclusion: quantized transport of charge in response to quantized flux insertion \Rightarrow quantized Hall conductance.

Linear Response Theory

The Hall conductivity σ_H characterizes how the current density j (observable) responds to the electric field E (perturbation).

• Current density operator for *each electron* (assuming m = e = h = 1) reads

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{j}} = \frac{B}{N_{\phi}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}.$$
(140)
Justify Eq. (140) based on Eq. (74).

Exc 29

Г

• The *expectation value* of **current density** in the *system* is given by

$$\langle \boldsymbol{j} \rangle = \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | \, \hat{\boldsymbol{j}} \, | n,m \rangle$$

$$= \frac{B}{N_{\phi}} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \, | n,m \rangle,$$

$$(141)$$

where $\sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}}$ is to sum over all lowest $|n,m\rangle$ states that are *occupied* by the electron.

• Based on Eq. (93), the **kinetic momentum** operator $\hat{\pi}$ can be expressed as

$$\hat{\pi}_{x} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} (\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a}), \ \hat{\pi}_{y} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2}} i (\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \hat{a}).$$
(142)

As expected, the current density $\langle j \rangle = 0$ vanishes on the many-body ground state, in the absence of perturbation.

• Applying a (weak) electric field E to the system amounts to perturbing the vector potential A by a *time-dependent* perturbation $\delta A(t)$,

$$\boldsymbol{A} \to \boldsymbol{A} + \delta \boldsymbol{A} \ (t), \tag{143}$$

with $\boldsymbol{E}(t) = -\partial_t \delta \boldsymbol{A}(t)$, according to Eq. (44).

• Assume that $E(t) = E e^{-i(\omega+i0_+)t}$ takes an oscillatory form with frequency ω , and is adiabatically turned on from the infinite past, then

$$\delta \mathbf{A} (t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \mathbf{E} (t') = -\frac{\mathbf{E}}{i \omega} e^{-i(\omega + i0_{+})t}.$$
(144)
$$\varepsilon T = 0.05$$

$$\stackrel{0.5}{=} 0.0$$

$$\stackrel{-0.5}{=} -1.0$$

$$\stackrel{-0.5}{=} -1.0$$

$$t/T$$

• This means the Hamiltonian operator will be perturbed by

$$\hat{H} \to \hat{H} + \delta \hat{H}(t),$$
(145)

with the perturbation given by

$$\delta \hat{H}(t) = \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{A}(t) \tag{146}$$

to the leading order of $\delta A(t)$.

• Given that $\hat{\pi} = \hat{p} - A$ and

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\pi}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\,(\hat{p} - A)^2,\tag{147}$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial A} = -(\hat{p} - A) = -\hat{\pi}.$$
(148)

Substitute Eq. (148) into Eq. (146), the time-dependent perturbation Hamiltonian reads

$$\delta \hat{H}(t) = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{A}(t) = \frac{1}{i\,\omega} \, \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \, e^{-i\,(\omega + i0_+)\,t}. \tag{149}$$

The time-dependent perturbation problem can solved by the Green's function approach.

• **Dressed Green's function** (unitary time-evolution operator) can be computed from the Dyson series to the leading order

$$\hat{G}(t, -\infty) = \hat{G}_0(t, -\infty) + (-i) \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \ \hat{G}_0(t, t') \,\delta \,\hat{H}(t') \ \hat{G}_0(t', -\infty) + \dots,$$
(150)

٦

where the **bare Green's function** is defined by

$$\hat{G}_{0}(t, t') = \sum_{n,m} |n,m\rangle \, e^{-i \, E_{n}(t-t')} \, \langle n,m|,$$
(151)

with $E_n = B(n + 1/2)$ being the **Landau level energy** (see Eq. (102)).

• Every state will evolve in time by

$$|n,m\rangle \to \hat{G}(t,-\infty)|n,m\rangle.$$
 (152)

As a result, by Eq. (141), the current density expectation value $\langle j \rangle$ evolves as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{j}(t) \rangle = \frac{B}{N_{\phi}} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | \hat{G}(-\infty,t) \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \hat{G}(t,-\infty) | n,m \rangle$$

$$= \frac{B}{N_{\phi}} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(t) + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \, e^{-i(\omega+i\theta_{+})t'} [\boldsymbol{E} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(t'), \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(t)] + \dots \right) | n,m \rangle.$$

$$(153)$$

Exc 30

Derive Eq. (153). where we have introduced

 $\hat{\alpha}_{(1)} = \hat{\alpha}_{(2)} = \hat{\alpha}_{(2)} \hat{\alpha}_{(2)} = \hat{\alpha}_{(2)} \hat{\alpha$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(t) := \hat{G}_0(-\infty, t) \,\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \,\hat{G}_0(t, -\infty). \tag{154}$$

• The first term in Eq. (153) is the current density in the *absence* of an electric field, which *vanishes*.

$$\sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(t) \, | n,m \rangle = 0.$$
(155)

Exc 31 Show Eq. (155).

• The second term in Eq. (153) describes the **linear response** of the current density under the electric field, which takes the form of

 $\langle \boldsymbol{j}(t)\rangle = \boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\omega)\,\boldsymbol{e}^{-i\,(\omega+i\boldsymbol{0}_{*})\,t},$

with the **conductivity matrix** $\sigma_{ji}(\omega)$ given by

$$\sigma_{ji}(\omega) = \frac{B}{\omega N_{\phi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dt \, e^{-i(\omega+i0_{+})t} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \langle n,m | [\hat{\pi}_{j}(t), \hat{\pi}_{i}(0)] | n,m \rangle.$$
(157)

Exc 32 Derive Eq. (156) and Eq. (157).

Eq. (156) implies that when the applied electric field $\mathbf{E}(t) = \mathbf{E} e^{-i(\omega+i\theta_*)t}$ oscillates at frequency ω , the induced current $\langle \mathbf{j}(t) \rangle$ also oscillates at the *same* frequency. — A feature of *linear* response.

(156)

• The **Hall conductivity** corresponds to the off-diagonal component $\sigma_{xy}(\omega)$ of the conductivity matrix. In the DC limit $\omega \to 0$, it is given by the **Kobo formula**:

$$\sigma_H := \lim_{\omega \to 0} \sigma_{xy}(\omega) = \frac{B}{i N_{\phi}} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} \sum_{n' \neq n} \frac{\langle n,m | \hat{\pi}_x | n',m \rangle \langle n',m | \hat{\pi}_y | n,m \rangle - h.c.}{(E_{n'} - E_n)^2}.$$
(158)

Exc 33 Derive Eq. (158).

• Using Eq. (142) to represent the kinetic momentum operator $\hat{\pi}$ as annihilation and creation operators, and given that

$$\hat{a} |n,m\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1,m\rangle,
\hat{a}^{\dagger} |n,m\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1,m\rangle,$$
(159)

the Hall conductivity σ_H in Eq. (158) reduces to

$$\sigma_H = \frac{1}{N_{\phi}} \sum_{n,m \in \text{occ}} 1.$$
(160)

Exc 34 Derive Eq. (160).

- Each occupied state contributes $1/N_{\phi}$ to σ_H (in unit of the conductance quantum e^2/h).
- Each Landau level is N_{ϕ} -fold degenerated. \Rightarrow Fully filling each Landau level produces exactly one unit of σ_H .

Spin and Monopole

Classical Spin

• Angular Momentum Decomposition

The classical motion of a **spinning top** is governed by

$$\tau = \frac{dL}{dt},\tag{161}$$

where

- $\bullet~\tau$ torque exerted on the top,
- L total **angular momentum** of the top, decomposed into components *parallel* $I_a \omega$ and *perpendicular* $I_t \Omega$ to the spinning axis

(162)

$$\boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{I}_a \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{I}_t \boldsymbol{\Omega},$$

S L L_t D_t --- I_t Ω --- I_t I Q

- I_a axial moment of inertia (about the spinning axis),
- I_t transverse moment of inertia (about either of the two equivalent transverse axes)
- ω *axial* **angular velocity** (along the spinning axis)
- Ω *transverse* **angular velocity**, describing the instantaneous rotation rate of the spinning axis itself.

Dynamics of Spinning Axis

Substitute Eq. (162) into Eq. (161),

$$\tau = I_a \frac{d\omega}{dt} + I_t \frac{d\Omega}{dt}.$$
(163)

• Transverse Torque Assumption: Assume τ has no component along the spinning axis, so the *magnitude* of ω remains constant. Only its *direction* changes due to the rotation of the spinning axis:

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \Omega \times \omega, \tag{164}$$

Eq. (163) becomes

$$\tau = I_a \,\Omega \times \omega + I_t \,\frac{d\,\Omega}{d\,t}\,. \tag{165}$$

We are mainly interested in the *motion* of the **spinning axis**, represented by the *unit vector*

ω		
n =	(16	66)
ω		

Similar to Eq. (164), \boldsymbol{n} also gets rotated by $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ as

$$\frac{d\,\boldsymbol{n}}{d\,t} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{n},\tag{167}$$

from which Ω can be "solved" and expressed as

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{n} \times \frac{d\,\mathbf{n}}{d\,t}.\tag{168}$$

Exc 35 Derive Eq. (168) from Eq. (167).

Substitute Eq. (168) into Eq. (165), and cross product with n from right on both sides, we obtain

$$I_t \left(\frac{d^2 \mathbf{n}}{d t^2}\right)_{\perp} = \mathbf{\tau} \times \mathbf{n} - \frac{d \mathbf{n}}{d t} \times \mathbf{S},$$
(169)

where

- $S := I_a \omega = S n$ spin angular momentum (*parallel* to the spinning axis),
- $(\ddot{n})_{\perp} = \ddot{n} (\ddot{n} \cdot n) n$ component of acceleration \ddot{n} in the tangent plane.

Exc 36 Derive Eq. (169).

Magnetic Monopole

• Electromagnetic Analogy

The motion of the spinning axis n (spin dynamics) can be interpreted as the motion of a *charged particle* on a *unit sphere* with an *magnetic monopole* inside (charge dynamics).

• Analogy: Compare the spin dynamics in Eq. (169) and the charge dynamics in Eq. (55)

spin :	$I_t \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{n}} \right)_{\perp}$	= ′	au imes n	, —	$\dot{m{n}} imes m{S}$
charge:	$m \ddot{x}$	=	\boldsymbol{E}	+	$\dot{x} imes B$

Spin dynamics	Charge dynamics
Spin orientation : $m{n}$	Charge position : x
Moment of inertia : I_t	$\text{Mass}\left(\text{interia}\right):m$
Torque-induced force : $\tau \times n$	$\operatorname{Electric} \operatorname{field}: \boldsymbol{E}$
${ m Spin} { m angular} { m momentum}: - {m S}$	Magnetic field : \boldsymbol{B}

• Similarity: Just as in electromagnetism, where the Lorentz force *deflects* a charge moving in a magnetic field, the spin-induced term $-\dot{n} \times S$ generates *precession* of the spinning axis.



• Difference: The constraint to the *sphere* makes the coordinate system *non-Euclidean* (curved), in which ∇ operator is defined differently. [2]



- Spherical coordinate: parametrize the spin axis
- $\boldsymbol{n} = (\cos\varphi\sin\theta, \,\sin\varphi\sin\theta, \,\cos\theta),\tag{171}$

by the polar angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and the azimuthal angle $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$.

- Unit vectors:
- $e^{\theta} = (\cos\varphi\cos\theta, \sin\varphi\cos\theta, -\sin\theta), \tag{172}$
- $e^{\varphi}=(-\sin\varphi,\,\cos\varphi,\,0).$
- Surface gradient: $\nabla_{\perp} \Phi$ for a scalar field Φ ,

$$\nabla_{\perp} \Phi = e^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \Phi + e^{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\varphi} \Phi.$$
(173)

• Surface curl: $\nabla_{\perp} \times A$ for a vector field $A = A_{\theta} e^{\theta} + A_{\varphi} e^{\varphi}$,

$$\nabla_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\theta} (A_{\varphi} \sin \theta) - \partial_{\varphi} A_{\theta} \right) \boldsymbol{n}.$$
(174)

[2] Wikipedia. Del in cylindrical and spherical coordinates.

Differential Geometry for Vector Calculus

In vector calculus, we often compute gradients, divergences, curls, and integrals over curves, surfaces, or volumes. While powerful, these operations depend heavily on the coordinate system and dimension. Differential geometry provide a more geometric and coordinate-free language for calculus. They unify many familiar operations and extend naturally to curved

spaces (manifolds).

- **Differential Forms**: differential form is the basic object in differential geometry, it is an object that you can *integrate*:
 - A 0-form is just a scalar field f(x), which can be integrated (evaluated) on a point x.
 - A 1-form is a vector field (line element), like $\omega(x) = \omega_i(x) dx^i$, something you can integrate along a **curve**.
 - A 2-form is an *tensor* field (oriented *surface* element), e.g. $\sigma_{ij}(x) dx^i \wedge dx^j$, integrable over a surface.

In general:

- A *k*-form is something you integrate over a *k*-dimensional submanifold.
- The wedge product \wedge defines an oriented, antisymmetric product between forms: $dx^i \wedge dx^j = -dx^j \wedge dx^i$.
- **Metric**: The metric defines how distance is measured on the manifold.

$$ds^{2} = g_{ij}(x) \, dx^{i} \, dx^{j}, \tag{176}$$

(175)

where

- ds^2 is the squared infinitesimal distance element,
- $g_{ij}(x)$ are the components of the metric tensor, forming a symmetric positive-definite matrix.
- dx^i are the differential 1-form basis (cotangent basis)
- Exterior Derivative: the exterior derivative d acts on differential forms to produce forms of higher degree. Given $f = f_I d x^I$,

$$df = \partial_i f_I \, dx^i \wedge dx^I. \tag{177}$$

- $d^2 = 0$: the exterior derivative of an exterior derivative is always zero.
- Stoke's Theorem:

$$\int_{\partial M} \omega = \int_{M} d\omega.$$
(178)

• Hodge Dual: On an *n*-dimensional oriented manifold, the Hodge star operator \star maps *k*-forms to (n - k)-forms.

$$\star (d \, x^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \, x^{i_k}) = \frac{|\det[g_{ij}]|^{1/2}}{(n-k)!} \, g^{i_1 \, j_1} \dots g^{i_k \, j_k} \, \epsilon_{j_1 \dots \, j_n} \, d \, x^{j_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge d \, x^{j_n}, \tag{179}$$

where

• $\epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_n}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol,

• $g^{ij} = \langle dx^i, dx^j \rangle$ is the **inverse metric**, which tells how differential forms dx^i and dx^j are "angled" with respect to each other.

Exterior derivative and Hodge dual enable us to represent **vector calculus operators** in terms of differential forms in a unified manner.

Vector Calculus 1	Differetial Form
$\operatorname{grad}\left(\nabla f\right)$	df
$\operatorname{curl}\left(\nabla\times\omega\right)$	$\star d\omega$
$\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}\right)$	$\star d \star \omega$
$\operatorname{Laplacian}\left(\nabla^{2}f\right)$	$\star d \star df$

See Refs. [3] for more details of the above concepts.

Application in spherical coordinates on S^2 .

• Metric: the distance element is given by

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\varphi^2,\tag{181}$$

which implies

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^{-2} \theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{182}$$

and $|\det[g_{ij}]|^{1/2} = \sin \theta$.

• Unit (co)vectors: orthonormal basis of 1-forms

$$\begin{array}{l}
e^{\theta} \leftrightarrow \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}} \ d\theta = d\theta, \\
e^{\varphi} \leftrightarrow \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}} \ d\varphi = \sin\theta \ d\varphi.
\end{array}$$
(183)

This enables us to represent any *vector* field as *1-form* field, such as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = A_{\theta} \, \boldsymbol{e}^{\theta} + A_{\varphi} \, \boldsymbol{e}^{\varphi} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{A} = A_{\theta} \, d\theta + A_{\varphi} \sin \theta \, d\varphi. \tag{184}$$

• Gradient: given a scalar field Φ ,

$$\operatorname{grad} \Phi = e^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} \Phi + e^{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\varphi} \Phi.$$
(185)

- Exc37 Derive Eq. (185) using differential geometry approach.
 - Curl: given a vector field $\boldsymbol{A} = A_{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}^{\theta} + A_{\varphi} \boldsymbol{e}^{\varphi}$,

$$\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\theta} (A_{\varphi} \sin \theta) - \partial_{\varphi} A_{\theta} \right) \boldsymbol{n}.$$
(186)

39

- Exc Derive Eq. (186) using differential geometry approach. 38
 - **Divergence**: given a vector field $\mathbf{A} = A_{\theta} \mathbf{e}^{\theta} + A_{\varphi} \mathbf{e}^{\varphi}$,

div
$$\boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\theta} (A_{\theta} \sin \theta) + \partial_{\varphi} A_{\varphi} \right).$$

• Laplacian: given a scalar field ψ ,

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \,\partial_\theta (\sin \theta \,\partial_\theta \psi) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \,\partial_\varphi^2 \psi. \tag{188}$$

Exc Derive Eq. (188) using differential geometry approach. 40

[3] Vincent Bouchard. MATH 315: Calculus IV (University of Alberta).

• Effective Gauge Field

Introduce the effective gauge field (Φ, A) on the sphere, such that

• Effective electric field:

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{n}) = -\nabla_{\perp} \Phi(\boldsymbol{n}).$$

In this way, E is guaranteed to lie in the tangent plane, the same as the torque-induced force $\tau \times n$.



Example: a spinning top in a uniform gravity field $\Phi(\mathbf{n}) \propto n_z = \cos \theta$,

$$\boldsymbol{E} = -\nabla_{\perp} \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \sin \theta. \tag{190}$$

• Effective magnetic field:

$$\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{n}) = -S \,\boldsymbol{n} = \nabla_{\perp} \times \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{n}). \tag{191}$$

where $S = I_a \omega$ is the spin angular momentum (magnitude). The magnetic field points towards the origin, where there is effective an magnetic monopole.



(187)

(189)





One common choice of vector potential A(n) to produce such magnetic field is the Wu-Yang monopole potential [4]

$$\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{n}) = S \, \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{\sin \theta} \, \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi} \tag{192}$$

Verify that $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{n})$ given in Eq. (192) satisfies Eq. (191). Exc

• As a simple justification, along a *latitude loop* at the polar angle θ , the loop integral of the vector potential is

$$\oint \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int S \, \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{\sin \theta} \, \sin \theta \, d\varphi = 2 \, \pi \, S \, (\cos \theta - 1), \tag{193}$$

which indeed equals to the *magnetic flux* through the *spherical cap* from the north pole down to angle θ

$$\int \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -S\,\Omega(\theta) = -2\,\pi\,S\,(1 - \cos\,\theta),\tag{194}$$

where $\Omega(\theta) = 2\pi (1 - \cos \theta)$ denotes the *solid angle* of the cap.

- However, as $\theta \to \pi$ near the south pole, $A_{\varphi} \to \infty$ diverges. What is going wrong?
- [4] T. T. Wu, C. N. Yang. Dirac monopole without strings: monopole harmonics. Nuclear Physics B107 365-380 (1976).

Quantization of Spin (or Monopole)

The divergence of A_{φ} is due to the requirement that an *infinitesimal latitude loop* near the south pole $(\theta \to \pi)$ must accumulate a finite amount of **Berry phase** set by the *total magnetic* flux through the sphere

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{\hbar} \oint \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sin \theta A_{\varphi} = \frac{4\pi B}{\hbar} = -\frac{4\pi S}{\hbar}.$$
(195)

The divergence can not be avoid, unless $\dots \Theta$ is actually *equivalent* to 0, i.e.

$$\Theta = 2\pi n, \tag{196}$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

41

• Therefore, it is only possible to avoid singular assignment of A(n) if the spin angular momentum S is quantized to

$$S = \frac{\hbar}{2} n, \tag{197}$$

with n = 0, 1, 2,

• This is also a statement about the **magnetic monopole**, that the **total magnetic flux** emitted by a magnetic monopole must be quantized to

 $\phi_B = 4 \pi B = -2 \pi \hbar n. \tag{198}$

Mathematically, the singularity is avoided by using two overlapping coordinate patches (north and south hemispheres) with smooth gauge fields on each.

• On the northern hemisphere ($\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, excluding the south pole):

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{N}(\boldsymbol{n}) = S \, \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{\sin \theta} \, \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi}. \tag{199}$$

• On the southern hemisphere ($\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, excluding the north pole):

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{S}(\boldsymbol{n}) = S \, \frac{\cos \theta + 1}{\sin \theta} \, \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi}. \tag{200}$$

• On the equator $(\theta = \pi/2)$ where both patches overlap, the two gauge potentials are related by a gauge transformation:

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{N}(\varphi) - \boldsymbol{A}_{S}(\varphi) = \hbar \, \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi} \, \partial_{\varphi} \, \chi(\varphi), \tag{201}$$

with
$$\chi(\varphi) = -2(S/\hbar)\varphi$$
.

Since φ and $\varphi + 2\pi$ correspond to the *same* point on the equator, the gauge transformation $\psi(\varphi) \rightarrow e^{i\chi(\varphi)}\psi(\varphi)$ is only consistent if

$$e^{i\chi(\varphi)} = e^{i\chi(\varphi+2\pi)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(-i2(S/\hbar)\varphi) = \exp(-i2(S/\hbar)(\varphi+2\pi))$$
(202)

which requires

$$\frac{2S}{\hbar} \in \mathbb{Z},\tag{203}$$

reproducing the spin quantization condition in Eq. (197).

Quantum Spin

Hamiltonian

Consider the spherical symmetric case, where there is no external scalar potential

 $\Phi(n) = 0$. Similar to Eq. (48), the quantum dynamics of a spin is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2 I_t} D_{\perp}^2 + \frac{S^2}{2 I_a}.$$
(204)

- $I_t = m$ the *transverse* moment of inertia of the spin,
- D_{\perp} surface covariant derivative, along tangent directions on the sphere,

$$D_{\perp} = \nabla_{\perp} - \frac{i}{\hbar} A,$$
(205)
• $\nabla_{\perp} = e^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + e^{\varphi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\varphi}$ - surface gradient,

- $\mathbf{A} = A_{\theta} e^{\theta} + A_{\varphi} e^{\varphi}$ gauge connection (vector potential) on the sphere. Take the Wu-Yang monopole potential:

$$A_{\theta} = 0, \, A_{\varphi} = S \, \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{\sin \theta}, \tag{206}$$

with the quantization condition $(n \in \mathbb{N})$,

$$S = \hbar s = \hbar \frac{n}{2},\tag{207}$$

where s = n/2 is introduced as the **spin quantum number** (quantized to half integers), also characterizing the monopole strength.

In spherical coordinate, the Hamiltonian acts on the wave function $\psi(\theta, \varphi)$ as

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{\perp}^{2} \boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta \, \partial_{\theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\varphi} + i \, s \, (1 - \cos \theta) \right)^{2} \right) \boldsymbol{\psi}. \tag{208}$$

Exc 42

Г

Derive Eq. (208) using differential geometry approach.

Schrödinger Equation

Solve the stationary Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{H}\,\psi(\theta,\,\varphi) = E\,\psi(\theta,\,\varphi). \tag{209}$$

• Separation of variables: let

$$\psi(\theta, \varphi) = e^{i (m-s)\varphi} \psi(\theta), \tag{210}$$

Eq. (209) takes the form of the generalized associated Legendre differential equation

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_{\theta}(\sin\theta\partial_{\theta}) - \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\theta}\left(m - s\cos\theta\right)^{2} + \lambda\right)\psi(\theta) = 0$$
(211)

where the eigenvalue λ is related to the energy E by

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2 I_t} \lambda + \frac{\hbar^2}{2 I_a} s^2.$$
 (212)

Exc 43 Justify Eq. (211) and Eq. (212).

• The equation Eq. (211) can be solved by

$$\psi(\theta) = (1 - \cos\theta)^{\frac{s-m}{2}} (1 + \cos\theta)^{\frac{s+m}{2}} P_{l-s}^{(s-m,s+m)}(\cos\theta),$$
(214)

where

• $P_n^{(a,b)}(x)$ denotes the Jacobi polynomial,

$$P_n^{(a,b)}(x) = 2^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n+a)!}{k! (n+a-k)!} \frac{(n+b)!}{(n-k)! (b+k)!} (x-1)^{n-k} (x+1)^k.$$
(215)

which is well-defined for $x \in [-1, 1]$ if $n, n + a, n + b \in \mathbb{N}$, implying the following quantization conditions:

- l = s, s + 1, s + 2, ...,
- m = -l, -l + 1, ..., l 1, l.
- The corresponding eigenvalue λ is

$$\lambda = l(l+1) - s^2.$$
(216)

Exc 44 Verify Eq. (214) and Eq. (216).

Monopole Harmonics

Put together, define the **monopole harmonics** $Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi)$ function

$$Y_{slm}(\theta,\varphi) = \mathcal{N} \, e^{i \, (m-s)\,\varphi} (1 - \cos\theta)^{\frac{s-m}{2}} \, (1 + \cos\theta)^{\frac{s+m}{2}} \, P_{l-s}^{(s-m,s+m)}(\cos\theta). \tag{220}$$

where $P_n^{(a,b)}$ is the Jacobi polynomial, and \mathcal{N} is the *normalization* constant to ensure $\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta |Y_{slm}(\theta,\varphi)|^2 \sin \theta = 1.$

• The eigen wavefunction of \hat{H} is given by

$$\psi_{slm}(\theta,\varphi) = Y_{slm}(\theta,\varphi). \tag{221}$$

• The corresponding eigen energy is

$$E_{slm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2 I_t} \left(l(l+1) - s^2 \right) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2 I_a} s^2.$$
(222)

In the *isotropic* limit $(I_a = I_t = I)$, the eigen energy only depends on the quantum number l,

$$E_{slm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2 I} l(l+1).$$
(223)

- The quantum numbers s, l, m take values in
 - $s = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, ... \in \mathbb{N}/2$, with $S = \hbar s \Rightarrow$ sets the monopole strength (i.e. total magnetic flux through the unit sphere).
 - $l = s, s + 1, s + 2, ... \in s + \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$ labels the **Landau levels** on the sphere.
 - $m = -l, -l+1, ..., l-1, l \Rightarrow$ labels the degenerated states within each Landau level \Rightarrow Landau level-*l* has the **degeneracy** (2 *l*+1).

• Angular Momentum

The total **angular momentum operator** is defined as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} = \boldsymbol{n} \times (-i \hbar \boldsymbol{D}_{\perp}) + \boldsymbol{S}.$$
(224)

where

- $S = S n = \hbar s n$ is the spin angular momentum (axial component).
- $n \times (-i \hbar D_{\perp})$ is the orbital angular momentum (*transverse* component) associated with the spinning axis precession, which is given by the *cross product* between:
 - *n* axis coordinate (on the sphere),
 - $-i\hbar D_{\perp}$ axis kinetic momentum (in the tangent plane).

Explicitly,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} = \hbar \bigg(\boldsymbol{e}^{\varphi}(-i\,\partial_{\theta}) - \boldsymbol{e}^{\theta} \,\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \,\left((-i\,\partial_{\varphi}) + s(1-\cos\theta) \right) + s\,\boldsymbol{n} \bigg).$$
(225)

Exc 45

Derive Eq. (225).

According to Eq. (171) and Eq. (172),

$$n = \cos \varphi \sin \theta \, e^x + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \, e^y + \cos \theta \, e^z,$$

$$e^{\theta} = \cos \varphi \cos \theta \, e^x + \sin \varphi \cos \theta \, e^y - \sin \theta \, e^z,$$

$$e^{\varphi} = -\sin \varphi \, e^x + \cos \varphi \, e^y.$$
(226)

 \hat{L} in Eq. (225) can be decomposed in Cartesian coordinate system as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} = \hat{L}_x \, \boldsymbol{e}^x + \hat{L}_y \, \boldsymbol{e}^y + \hat{L}_z \, \boldsymbol{e}^z, \tag{227}$$

with

$$\hat{L}_x = \hbar \left(\sin \varphi \left(i \, \partial_\theta \right) + \cos \varphi \left(\cot \theta \left(i \, \partial_\varphi \right) + s \, \frac{1 - \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right) \right)$$

$$\hat{L}_{y} = \hbar \left(-\cos\varphi \left(i\,\partial_{\theta} \right) + \sin\varphi \left(\cot\theta \left(i\,\partial_{\varphi} \right) + s\,\frac{1 - \cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \right) \right),$$
$$\hat{L}_{z} = \hbar \left((-i\,\partial_{\varphi}) + s \right).$$

Exc 46

They satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{L}_x, \, \hat{L}_y \end{bmatrix} = i \,\hbar \, \hat{L}_z,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{L}_y, \, \hat{L}_z \end{bmatrix} = i \,\hbar \, \hat{L}_x,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{L}_z, \, \hat{L}_x \end{bmatrix} = i \,\hbar \, \hat{L}_y,$$
(229)

which could be summarized as $\hat{L} \times \hat{L} = i \hbar \hat{L}$ in vector form. This is the defining property of any angular momentum operator.

Exc Verify Eq. (229). 47

Derive Eq. (228).

Squared Angular Momentum

The squared angular momentum operator is generally defined as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2} := \hat{\boldsymbol{L}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{L}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{x}^{2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{y}^{2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{z}^{2}.$$
(230)

• For our case of \hat{L} in Eq. (224), \hat{L}^2 can be explicitly written out

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2} = -\hbar^{2} \boldsymbol{D}_{\perp}^{2} + S^{2}$$

$$= \frac{-\hbar^{2}}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\theta} (\sin \theta \, \partial_{\theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\partial_{\varphi} + i \, s \, (1 - \cos \theta) \right)^{2} \right) + \hbar^{2} \, s^{2}.$$
(231)

• A key property of \hat{L}^2 is that it commutes with any component of the angular momentum operator,

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2},\,\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{a}\right] = 0 \quad \text{(for } a = x,\,y,\,z\text{)},\tag{232}$$

or simply written as $\left[\hat{L}^{2}, \hat{L}\right] = 0$ in vector form. It is commonly referred to as the **Casimir** operator of the so(3) algebra — an element in the Lie algebra that commutes with all its generators.

Exc 48

Proof Eq. (232) based on Eq. (229).

Given $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_z] = 0$ (i.e. \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z are commuting operators), they can be *simultaneously* diagonalized by a set of common eigenstates, which turns out to be the monopole harmonics

9)

 $Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi),$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}^2 Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi) = \hbar^2 l (l+1) Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi),$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_z Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi) = \hbar m Y_{slm}(\theta, \varphi).$$

Exc 49 Verify Eq. (233).

- The quantum numbers are reinterpreted as
 - $l = s, s + 1, s + 2, ... \in s + \mathbb{N}$ angular quantum number (labeling quantized total angular momentum),
 - m = -l, -l+1, ..., l-1, l magnetic quantum number (labeling quantized z-component of angular momentum).

■ Spin-1/2

The smallest *non-trivial* monopole strength is

$$s = 1/2,$$
 (234)

corresponding to a quantum spinning top with axial angular momentum

$$S = \hbar s = \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$
(235)

The total angular momentum can not be smaller than S.

The *lowest* Landau level is achieved at l = s = 1/2, where the total angular momentum saturates its minimal value $S = \hbar/2$, realizing a spin-1/2 system. In this case:

• There are only two options for the quantum number m

$$m = \pm \frac{1}{2},\tag{236}$$

corresponding to the up and down spin states.

• The corresponding *monopole harmonics* wave functions are

$$Y_{1/2,1/2,m}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{i(m-1/2)\varphi} (1-\cos\theta)^{\frac{1/2-m}{2}} (1+\cos\theta)^{\frac{1/2+m}{2}},$$
(237)

or respectively as

$$Y_{1/2,1/2,+1/2}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sqrt{1 + \cos\theta},$$

$$Y_{1/2,1/2,-1/2}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{-i\varphi} \sqrt{1 - \cos\theta}.$$
(238)

(233)



• Angular momentum eigenvalues:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}^{2} Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2} = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^{2} Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2},$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{L}}_{z} Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \hbar Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2}.$$
(239)

• Energy eigenvalue (2-fold degenerated)

$$\hat{H} Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2} = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{4 I_t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{8 I_a}\right) Y_{1/2,1/2,\pm 1/2}.$$
(240)

Puzzle: It seems that $Y_{1/2,1/2,-1/2}(\theta, \varphi)$ is not single-valued at the *south pole* $(\theta = \pi)$, is there anything wrong?

- **Topological obstruction**: Monopole harmonics can not be *globally* single-valued in a naive coordinate sense because of the *nontrivial* **gauge curvature** induced by the monopole.
- However, the apparent multivaluedness is a **gauge artifact**, and can be removed by the *gauge transformation*.

Solution: define the monopole harmonics on different hemispheres with different gauge choices, and switch gauge choices at the equator by gauge transformation.

• For the northern hemisphere ($\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, excluding the south pole):

$$A_{N}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\cos \theta - 1}{\sin \theta} e_{\varphi},$$

$$Y_{1/2, 1/2, +1/2}^{N}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sqrt{1 + \cos \theta},$$

$$Y_{1/2, 1/2, -1/2}^{N}(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} e^{-i\varphi} \sqrt{1 - \cos \theta}.$$
(241)

• For the southern hemisphere ($\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, excluding the north pole):

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{S}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \; \frac{\cos\theta + 1}{\sin\theta} \; \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi},$$

$$\begin{split} Y^{S}_{1/2,1/2,+1/2}(\theta,\,\varphi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi}} \,\,e^{i\,\varphi}\,\,\sqrt{1+\cos\theta}\,,\\ Y^{S}_{1/2,1/2,-1/2}(\theta,\,\varphi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\,\pi}}\,\,\sqrt{1-\cos\theta}\,. \end{split}$$

• On the equator $(\theta = \pi/2)$, the two gauge choices are related by a gauge transformation:

$$A_{N}(\pi/2,\varphi) = A_{S}(\pi/2,\varphi) + \hbar e_{\varphi} \partial_{\varphi} \chi(\varphi),$$

$$Y_{1/2,1/2,m}^{N}(\pi/2,\varphi) = e^{i \chi(\varphi)} Y_{1/2,1/2,m}^{S}(\pi/2,\varphi),$$
(243)

with $\chi(\varphi) = -\varphi$.

II.

Therefore, monopole harmonics can (only) be defined by piecing different gauge patches together with gauge transformations,

$$Y_{1/2,1/2,m}(\theta,\varphi) = \begin{cases} Y_{1/2,1/2,m}^{N}(\theta,\varphi) & \theta \in [0,\pi/2], \\ Y_{1/2,1/2,m}^{S}(\theta,\varphi) & \theta \in [\pi/2,\pi], \end{cases}$$
(244)

such that there is no singularity on any patch, and physical quantities are all well-behaved.